

7. This is an honest services fraud problem that tests both the basic structure of the honest service theory (ie, one needs to lay out what the nature of a prosecutor's argument might be) and the limitations on honest services fraud in light of the *Skilling* decision. Perhaps none of these cases satisfies the requirement of a bribe or a kickback, but one must consider how a prosecutor would attempt to style them as bribe or kickback cases. Ironically, (C) might be the best case for a bribe, except that the professor appears to be the victim of extortion which seems like it should matter to the analysis!